CA-PMM	Project Name: \( \)	WIN Farrell Project
	OCIO Project #: 5	5225-71
	Department: F	EIS

# **Status Report**

## **Progress Report -- Team Member to Project Manager**

## **Current Task Summary**

Revision Date: 4/30/09

Accomplished this week  Planned/Scheduled Completion in Next Two Weeks		Scheduled Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Issues?
Status Summary	Yes/No		Explanation	
Will all assigned tasks be accomplished by their due date?				
Are there any planned tasks that won't be completed?				

C	Δ	_	P	N	1	١	V
$\mathbf{\mathcal{L}}$	_	_		ıv	/ 🛮	ш	

Project Name:	WIN Farrell Project
OCIO Project #:	5225-71
Department:	EIS
<b>Revision Date:</b>	4/30/09

## **Status Report**

#### **Status of Assigned Issues**

Issue Number	Description	Due Date	Status

## Status Report - Project Manager to Sponsor

### **Current Status Report**

Questions	Yes/No	Cause	Impact	Action Required
Were recent milestones completed on schedule?	No	State Budget Delay, Increased Scope	Project behind schedule	SPR to rebaseline
Were any key milestones or deliverables rescheduled?	Yes	State Budget Delay, Increased Scope	Project behind schedule	SPR to rebaseline
3. Was work done that was not planned?	Yes	Policy delays, Increased scope	Additional workload, Project behind schedule	SPR to rebaseline
4. Were there any changes to scope?	Yes	New Farrell Team New Court Req.	Additional workload, Project behind schedule	SPR to rebaseline
5. Were tasks added that were not originally estimated?	Yes	New Farrell Team New Court Req.	Additional workload, Project behind schedule	SPR to rebaseline
6. Were any tasks or milestones removed?	No			
7. Were any scheduled tasks not started?	No			
8. Are there any new major issues?	No			

## CA-PMM

Project Name: WIN Farrell Project

OCIO Project #: 5225-71

Department: EIS
Revision Date: 4/30/09

Are there any staffing problems?	Yes	State Budget Delay, Hiring Delays	Project behind schedule	SPR to rebaseline
----------------------------------	-----	--------------------------------------	-------------------------	-------------------

C	Δ	_	P	N	1	١	V
$\mathbf{\mathcal{L}}$	_	_		ıv	/ 🛮	ш	

Project Name:	WIN Farrell Project	
OCIO Project #:	5225-71	
Department:	EIS	
Revision Date:	4/30/09	

# **Status Report**

#### **Look Ahead View**

Questions	Yes/No	Impact	Action Required
Will upcoming critical path milestones or deliverables be delayed?	Yes	Project behind schedule	SPR to rebaseline
Do any key milestones or deliverables need to be rescheduled?	Yes	Project behind schedule	SPR to rebaseline
3. Is there any unplanned work that needs to be done?	Yes	Gap Analysis New SPR required	8 new requests awaiting proiritizing, SPR to rebaseline
Are there any expected or recommended changes to scope?	Yes	Gap Analysis New SPR required	8 new requests awaiting proiritizing, SPR to rebaseline
5. Are there any tasks not originally estimated that will need to be added?	Yes	Gap Analysis New SPR required	8 new requests awaiting proiritizing, SPR to rebaseline
Are there any tasks or milestones that should be removed from the plan?	No		
7. Are there any scheduled tasks whose start will likely be delayed?	Yes	Project behind schedule	SPR to rebaseline
8. Are any major new issues foreseeable?	No		
Are any staffing problems anticipated?	Yes	Project behind schedule	SPR to rebaseline

C	<b>A</b> \-	PΙ	VI	N
C.	<b>/</b> \-	ΡI	VI	N

Project Name: WIN Farrell Project	
OCIO Project #: 5225-71	Otatus Parant
Department: EIS	Status Report
Revision Date: 4/30/09	

#### **Current Status and Accomplishments:**

Describe deliverables completed and milestones met during this reporting period.

Completed deliverables are: WIN Exchange, Violence Tracking, Restricted Programs, New Grievance and Staff Misconduct, DDMS, Use of Force, Ward Disabilities, Mental Health (SRSQ) & (SRR), Gang Tracking, Pine Grove Conversion, Wide Area Network Upgrade, Compstat & PBS Reporting.

#### Project Milestones:

List key milestones and their dates from the project schedule.

Milestone	Target Date	Forecast Date	Status	Cause & Impact to Implementation Date	Date Completed
WIN Farrell Project Phase II Changes	12/31/08	10/1/09	Behind Schedule	See Page 2	

#### Variances

Check the appropriate box for each project element listed below. Please describe the actions you plan to take for those items marked "Caution" or "Significant Variance".

	On Plan <5%	Caution 5-10%	Significant Variance >10%	Action Required
Schedule			Х	WIN Farrell will submit SPR to rebaseline based on results of gap analysis.
Milestones			Х	WIN Farrell will submit SPR to rebaseline based on results of gap analysis.
Deliverables			Х	WIN Farrell will submit SPR to rebaseline based on results of gap analysis.
Resources		X		
OneTime Cost	X			
Continuing Cost	Х			

C	Δ	_	P	N	1	١	V
$\mathbf{\mathcal{L}}$	_	_		ıv	/ 🛮	ш	

Project Name: WIN Farrell Project
-----------------------------------

OCIO Project #: 5225-71

Department: EIS

Revision Date: 4/30/09

**Status Report** 

### **Status Reports – Sponsor to Steering Committee**

#### **Summary Milestones and Highlights**

## Project Milestones: List key milestones and their dates from the project schedule. Explain in issues section if a milestone's status is behind.

Milestone	Target Date	Forecast Date	Status	If Delayed, Impact to Implementation Date	Date Completed
WIN Farrell Project Phase II Changes	12/31/08	10/1/09	Behind Schedule	See Page 2	

#### Variances

Check the appropriate box for each project element listed below. Please describe the actions you plan to take for those items marked "Caution" or "Significant Variance".

\* Priority of schedule, scope, budget, and quality from Final Ranking established in the Priority Analysis

	On Plan <5%	Caution 5-10%	Significant Variance >10%	Action Required
Schedule			X	WIN Farrell will submit SPR to rebaseline based on results of gap analysis.
Milestones			X	WIN Farrell will submit SPR to rebaseline based on results of gap analysis.
Deliverables			X	WIN Farrell will submit SPR to rebaseline based on results of gap analysis.
Resources		X		
One Time Cost	X			
Continuing Cost	X			

## CA-PMM

Project Name: WIN Farrell Pro	ect
-------------------------------	-----

OCIO Project #: 5225-71

Department: EIS
Revision Date: 4/30/09

# **Status Report**

## **Monitoring Vital Signs Scorecard**

Vital Sign	Variance	Value	Your Score
	High Degree of Buy-In	0	
Customer Buy-In	Medium Degree of Buy-In	1	0
	Low Degree of Buy-In	2	
	Strong Viability	0	
Technology Viability	Medium Viability	1	0
	Weak Viability	2	
	<5%	0	
3. Status of the Critical Path (delay)	5% to 10%	1	2
	>10%	2	
4. Cook to Date ve Fatimental Cook	<5%	0	
<ol><li>Cost-to-Date vs. Estimated Cost- to-Date (higher)</li></ol>	5% to 10%	1	0
to-Date (riigher)	>10%	2	
5 High Dook shills High loss sat	0 to 3	0	
<ol><li>High-Probability, High-Impact Risks</li></ol>	4 to 6	1	1
NISKS	>6	2	
6. Unresolved Issues	On time	0	
(on time resolution)	Late with no impact	1	1
	Late impacting the critical path	2	
	Fully engaged	0	
7. Sponsorship Commitment	Partially engaged	1	0
	Inadequate enagement	2	
	Strong alignment	0	
8. Strategy Alignment	Partial alignment	1	0
	Weak or no alignment	2	
	Strong	0	
9. Value-to-Business	Medium	1	0
	Weak	2	

## CA-PMM

Project Name:	WIN Farrell Project
OCIO Project #:	5225-71
Department:	EIS

Revision Date: 4/30/09

# **Status Report**

10. Vendor Viability (provide	Strong	0	
rationale for the rating in the field	Medium	1	
following the scorecard)	Weak	2	
11. Milestone Hit Rate	>90% on time	0	
(rate of achievement as planned)	80-90% on time	1	2
(rate of achievement as planned)	<80% on time	2	
40. Deliverable Hit Dete	>90% on time	0	
12. Deliverable Hit Rate (rate of production as planned)	80-90% on time	1	2
(rate of production as planned)	<80% on time	2	
	>90% assigned and available	0	
13. Actual vs. Planned Resources	80-90% assigned and available	1	2
	<80% assigned and available	2	
4.4. Overeties a little ation	<15%	0	
<ul><li>14. Overtime Utilization</li><li>(% of effort that is overtime)</li></ul>	15-25%	1	0
(% of effort that is overtime)	>25%	2	
	Highly Effective	0	
15. Team Effectiveness	Moderately Effective	1	1
	Ineffective	2	
		Total	11

Green = 0 - 8 Yellow = 9 - 19Red = 20 +

1.77 = 7.
Vendor Viability Rating Rationale
No vendor, staff augmentation